

Record of an individual Cabinet member decision

Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

Decision made by	Councillor Judy Roberts, Cabinet Member for Development and Infrastructure
Key decision?	Yes
Date of decision (same as date form signed)	12 January 2023
Name and job title of officer requesting the decision	Julie Perrin Infrastructure Implementation Officer Infrastructure Implementation & Funding Team
Officer contact details	Tel: 07717 274690 Email: julie.perrin@southandvale.gov.uk
Decision	To create a budget for £76,600 from S106 contributions and release funds to the council's property team to be used for essential works, maintenance and management of Tuckmill Meadows SSSI.
Reasons for decision	We have received a request for funds from the council's property team for a total of £76,600.00 from S106 contributions (Agreement ref: 17V14) arising from the development at Land to the East of Highworth Road, Shrivenham (P13/V1810/O).
	The proposed project will provide improvements, maintenance and management of the SSSI known as Tuckmill Meadows over a 39 month period (partially retrospective from September 2021 to December 2024) to include specialist services, consultancy, volunteer training, tools and supervision along with site infrastructure improvements.
	The S106 agreement is not 'clear and unambiguous' about how the contributions are to be used, the planning decision was made by the council's planning committee and the sum requested is more than £20,000, but below £100,000. Thus, in accordance with the council's Constitution (13 December 2022), Finance Procedure Rules (para 75 (b)) the relevant Cabinet member in consultation with the Cabinet member for finance can agree to create a budget and release the funds requested for the purposes described above.

	Project cost breakdown:		
	Item	Cost over	
		project period	
	Purchase of hand tools	1,600.00	
	Grassland management	1,500.00	
	Grassiand management	6,000.00	
		13,000.00	
Fencing repairs/replacement		13,000.00	
	Boardwalk repairs/replacement Tree survey Remedial tree work		
Remedial tree work Management plan review and consultancy		10,000.00 4,000.00	
	Specialist consultancy and supervision	19,500.00	
	Replacement Interpretation board	3,900.00	
	First aid kits	250.00	
	First aid training	650.00	
	Scything training	1,400.00	
	Leadership training	300.00	
		000.00	
	Total project cost	76,600.00	
		70,000.00	
	The S106 agreement (17V14) secured funding to be used towards mitigation measures for Tuckmill Meadows SSSI, to offset the potential impacts of the Development. Contributions totalling £99,582.37 have been received. The proposed project utilises the services of a local interest group Friends of Tuckmill (FoT) who will provide a local volunteer workfor to carry out the majority of ongoing tasks supported by the council grounds maintenance team and where necessary engaging the expertise of local contractors and consultants. Express planning permission/advertising consent is not required for the scope of wo included within the project. The total project cost is £76,600.00, wi can be funded from the S106 secured contribution of £99,582.37. remaining balance of £22,982.37 can be used to fund the delivery other projects at the site in accordance with the terms of the S106 agreement.		
Alternative options rejected	The site is owned and managed by VoWH District Council. No alternative schemes have been identified.		
Climate and ecological implications	The Climate Action Team fully support the management works on the site to re-comm cessation of the lease with Berks, Bucks an (BBOWT). The team consider that all of the maintain both the special interest of the site infrastructure (boardwalks, bridges etc).	ence following the nd Oxon Wildlife Trust e works are necessary	

Legal implications	The council is the freehold owner of the site and has a statutory duty			
	to manage and maintain the SSSI.			
Financial implications	The total project cost is £76,600, which can be fully funded from the S106 secured contribution of £99,582.37. The remaining balance of \pounds 22,982.37 can help fund other projects in accordance with the terms of the S106 agreement.			
Other implications	The volunteer group, FoT are a valuable local resource, their contribution to the project is significant. This project will provide the support required to ensure that volunteers are adequately trained, provided with the necessary resources and suitably supervised/monitored in the work they carry out.			
Background papers considered	S106 funding application form and supporting documents. ICMD background paper. P22V2732/106			
Declarations/confli ct of interest? Declaration of other councillor/officer consulted by the Cabinet member?	None			
List consultees		Name	Outcome	Date
List consultees	Ward councillors	Cllr Elaine Ware Cllr Simon Howell	I fully support the application for s106 funding for the improvements and maintenance of the SSSI at Tuckmill Meadows. Emailed 17/11/2022	17/11/2022
	Legal legal@southandvale.g ov.uk	Patrick Arran	Cleared from a legal perspective.	09/12/2022
	Finance Finance@southandval e.gov.uk	Emma Creed	I can confirm these contributions are available to spend in full.	18/11/2022
	Human resources hradminandpayroll@s outhandvale.gov.uk	Trina Mayling	No comments from HR.	24/11/2022
	Climate and biodiversity <u>climateaction@southa</u> <u>ndvale.gov.uk</u>	Dominic Lamb	I fully support the application which will allow management works on the site to re- commence following the cessation of the lease with BBOWT. All of the works are necessary to maintain both the special interest of the site and the visitor infrastructure (boardwalks, bridges etc).	18/11/2022
	Equality and inclusivity <u>equalities@southandv</u> <u>ale.gov.uk</u>	Lynne Mitchell	I would support this application as it's a great way to get the community involved in local facilities, it helps with wellbeing and gives people a purpose which is so important.	07/12/2022

			I understand that the intention	
			is to maintain the pathways.	
			Should there be plans in the	
			future to make the current	
			conditions more accessible I would be more than happy to	
			advise.	
	Health and safety		Emailed 17/11/2022	
	healthandsafety@sout			
	<u>handvale.gov.uk</u>			
	Risk and insurance	Yvonne	Assurance have no comments	25/11/2022
	risk@southandvale.go	Cutler	to make on this other than	
	v.uk	Greaves	agree approach as it will help maintain and improve our	
			SSSI land at Tuckwell making	
			it more accessible to all.	
				4.0./4.4./00.000
	Communications	Charlotte Westgate	Please keep Comms informed of the progress of the project	18/11/2022
	communications@sou	Westgate	and any external comms that	
	<u>thandvale.gov.uk</u>		may be needed.	
			-	05/4/20055
	Community	Lynsey	There is no record on our	25/11/2022
	Enablement	Green	grants system of a request for a grant towards the	
	<u>communityenablemen</u>		improvement of Tuckmill	
	t@southandvale.gov.u		Meadows.	
	<u>k</u>			
	Planning	Stuart Walker	Thanks for your consultation –	18/11/2022
	Stuart.walker@southa		no comment.	
	ndvale.gov.uk			
	Leisure		Emailed 17/11/2022	
	Carmella.anderson@s			
	outhandvale.gov.uk		E 1. 1.00/44/0000	
	Technical (Parks)		Emailed 28/11/2022	
	Richard.ballard@sout			
	handvale.gov.uk	A 1 1		40/40/0000
	Head of Planning	Adrian Duffield	Approved for progression at S106/CIL Apps Meeting	19/12/2022
		Dunicia		
	Head of Finance	Simon	Approved for progression at	19/12/2022
		Hewings	S106/CIL Apps Meeting	
	Strategic		Supportive	11/01/2023
	Management Team			
	(SMT)			
	ExecutiveSupportSAV			
	@southandvale.gov.u			
	<u>k</u>			
	Cabinet Member for	Councillor		
	Finance and	Andy Crawford		
	Corporate Assets			
Confidential	No			
decision?				
If so, under which				
exempt category?	No			
Call-in waived by	No			
Scrutiny				
Committee				
chairman?				

Has this been discussed by Cabinet members?	Νο
Cabinet member for Development & Infrastructure signature To confirm the decision as set out in this notice.	SignatureCouncillor Judy Roberts Date12 January 2023
Cabinet member for Finance & Corporate Assets signature To confirm the decision as set out in this notice.	SignatureCouncillor Andy Crawford Date12 January 2023

ONCE SIGNED, THIS FORM MUST BE HANDED TO DEMOCRATIC SERVICES IMMEDIATELY

For Democratic Services office use only			
Form received	Date: 12 January 2023	Time: 11:37	
Date published to all councillors	Date: 12 January 2023		
Call-in deadline	Date: 19 January 2023	Time: 17:00	

Guidance notes

- 1. This form must be completed by the lead officer who becomes the contact officer. The lead officer is responsible for ensuring that the necessary internal consultees have signed it off, including the chief executive. The lead officer must then seek the Cabinet portfolio holder's agreement and signature.
- Once satisfied with the decision, the Cabinet portfolio holder must sign and date the form and return it to the lead officer who should send it to Democratic Services immediately to allow the call-in period to commence. Tel. 01235 422520 or extension 22520. Email: <u>democratic.services@southandvale.gov.uk</u>
- 3. Democratic Services will then publish the decision to the website (unless it is confidential) and send it to all councillors to commence the call-in period (five clear working days) if it is a 'key' decision (see the definition of a 'key' decision below). A key decision cannot be implemented until the call-in period expires. The call-in procedure can be found in the council's constitution, part 4, under the Scrutiny Committee procedure rules.
- 4. Before implementing a key decision, the lead officer is responsible for checking with Democratic Services that the decision has not been called in.
- 5. If a key decision has been called in, Democratic Services will notify the lead officer and decision-maker. This call-in puts the decision on hold.
- 6. Democratic Services will liaise with the Scrutiny Committee chairman over the date of the call-in debate. The Cabinet portfolio holder will be requested to attend the Scrutiny Committee meeting to answer the committee's questions.
- 7. The Scrutiny Committee may:
 - refer the decision back to the Cabinet portfolio holder for reconsideration or
 - refer the matter to Council with an alternative set of proposals (where the final decision rests with full Council) or
 - accept the Cabinet portfolio holder's decision, in which case it can be implemented immediately.

Key decisions: assessing whether a decision should be classified as 'key'

The South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse District Councils' Constitutions now have the same definition of a key decision:

A key decision is a decision of the Cabinet, an individual Cabinet member, or an officer acting under delegated powers, which is likely:

(a) to incur expenditure, make savings or to receive income of more than £75,000;

- (b) to award a revenue or capital grant of over £25,000; or
- (c) to agree an action that, in the view of the chief executive or relevant head of service, would be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising more than one ward in the area of the council.

Key decisions are subject to the scrutiny call-in procedure; non-key decisions are not and can be implemented immediately.

In assessing whether a decision should be classified as 'key', you should consider:

- (a) Will the expenditure, savings or income total more than £75,000 across all financial years?
- (b) Will the grant award to one person or organisation be more that £25,000 across all financial years?
- (c) Does the decision impact on more than one district council ward? And if so, is the impact significant? If residents or property affected by the decision is in one ward but is close to the border of an adjacent ward, it may have a significant impact on that second ward, e.g. through additional traffic, noise, light pollution, odour. Examples of significant impacts on two or more wards are:
 - Decisions to spend Didcot Garden Town funds (significant impact on more than one ward)
 - Changes to the household waste collection policy (affects all households in the district)
 - Reviewing a housing strategy (could have a significant impact on residents in many wards)
 - Adopting a supplementary planning document for a redevelopment site (could significantly affect more than one ward) or a new design guide (affects all wards)
 - Decisions to build new or improve existing leisure facilities (used by residents of more than one ward)

The overriding principle is that before 'key' decisions are made, they must be published in the Cabinet Work Programme for 28 calendar days. Classifying a decision as non-key when it should be a key decision could expose the decision to challenge and delay its implementation.